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ABSTRACTS
Melita Marie Garza, “They Came to Toil: News Frames of Wanted and Unwanted Mexicans in the Great Depression”

The onset of the Great Depression of 1929 coincided with pivotal events in US immigration history. These included the first law criminalizing entry in the United States without legal permission, renewed and vituperative national debates calling for the restriction of Mexican immigration, and in a little known historical episode, the instigation of Mexican repatriation programs, many sponsored by local US governments, that led to an exodus of about 500,000 Mexicans and Mexican Americans. This study comparatively analyzes news coverage of Mexicans, repatriation, and immigrations in independently owned English-and Spanish-language newspapers in San Antonio, Texas, during the deepest recessionary period of the Great Depression, 1929 through 1933. By examining similarities and differences in newspaper coverage in the state that experienced the most repatriations, this study illuminates how the media’s symbolic annihilation of the Mexican and Mexican American experience during this period contributed to an episode of invisible civil rights history.

Carolyn M. Edy, “Conditions of Acceptance: The United States Military, the Press, and the ‘Woman War Correspondent,’ 1846-1945”

This dissertation chronicles the early history of American women who worked as war correspondents, demonstrating the ways the military, the press, and women themselves constructed war-reporting categories that promoted and prevented women’s access to war. Nineteenth and early 20th century newspapers continually features the woman war correspondent, often as the first of only her kind, even as they wrote about more than sixty such women by 1914. The military did not consider sex among its “conditions of acceptance” for accrediting war correspondents until 1944, a year after it sought to promote a woman’s angle of war by accrediting “women war correspondents,” in addition to women who previously had gained accreditation based on war-reporting expertise. These newcomers competed for facilities, stories, and access, while their presence challenged the public’s perception of “war correspondent” as not necessarily a man’s job, and “woman war correspondent” as not necessarily a war correspondent. The 1944 directives included sex as a unifying condition, discounting differences in expertise and, ultimately, causing more problems than they resolved. By placing visible barriers before all female war correspondents, the revised conditions led women who had worked as exceptions alongside men to fight the directives on behalf of all women.

Julia Guarneri, “Making Metropolitans: Newspapers and the Urbanization of Americans, 1880-1930”

Making Metropolitans analyzes the content and impact of feature news during metropolitan newspapers’ decades of most dramatic change and peak influence. Organized as a series of case studies that examine developments in advertising and advice, urban reportage, suburban and regional circulation, and syndication within particular metropolitan areas, this study argues that in a period of rapid urbanization and growing anonymity, newspapers shaped Americans’ identities and loyalties to cities, regions, and the nation. Newspapers assimilated readers to a fast-changing urban culture, forged readers into civic communities, and created a more uniform American culture that undergirded the “American century” of global influence and expansion. Unlike previous journalism histories that concentrate on editorials and front-page news, this study focuses on features such as advice columns, neighborhood tours, comic strips, and Sunday magazines. Theses features not only took up the bulk of newspaper pages; they also spoke most directly to the issues of urban Americans’ everyday lives. It brings the histories of neglected reading audiences to light, for many features pitched especially to women, to immigrants, and to working-class readers. Contextualized within the history of urbanization as well as journalistic innovation, it shows how metropolitan newspapers shaped the diverse, contentious, and commercial urban public sphere of the twentieth century.

Donna Lampkin Stephens, “If It Ain’t Broke, Break It: How Corporate Journalism Killed the Arkansas Gazette”

Ownership is an increasingly critical issue for newspapers. Local, engaged, enlightened ownership is preferable to that of a distant corporation, but economic realities decree that corporate ownership is the norm. The Arkansas Gazette was one of the twentieth-century America’s most honored newspapers under independent local family ownership, but its wounds from one of the country’s final fierce newspaper wars against another local owner, Walter Hussman and his Arkansas Democrat, in the 1980s led to the family’s decision to sell to the Gannett Corporation. Whereas the Heiskell/Patterson family has been committed to quality journalism and was willing to pay for it, Gannett, like all public companies, was focused on the bottom line. The giant corporation shifted the Gazette’s focus from editor-driven to market-driven, reversing the family’s philosophy of giving readers what they needed rather than what they wanted. Financial reasons made the difference in Arkansas’s newspaper war. Hussman, head of a privately-held chain, had only himself to answer to; Gannett had to answer to nervous stockholders. Unlike the Arkansan Hussman, Gannett considered the Arkansas Gazette as simply a business proposition, so it is no surprise that Gannett blinked first. The Arkansas Gazette died on October 19, 1991, the victim of corporate journalism.